arXiv:1702.08232v1 [math.CO] 27 Feb 2017

Hajós-like theorem for signed graphs

Yingli Kang*

Abstract

The paper designs five graph operations, and proves that every signed graph with chromatic number q can be obtained from all-positive complete graphs $(K_q, +)$ by repeatedly applying these operations. This result gives a signed version of the Hajós theorem, emphasizing the role of all-positive complete graphs played in the class of signed graphs, as played in the class of unsigned graphs.

1 Introduction

We consider a graph to be finite and simple, i.e., with no loops or multiple edges. Let G be a graph and $\sigma\colon\ E(G) \to \{1, -1\}$ be a mapping. The pair (G, σ) is called a *signed graph*. We say that G is the *underlying graph* of (G, σ) and σ is a *signature* of G. The *sign* of an edge e is the value of $\sigma(e)$, and the *sign product* $sp(H)$ of a subgraph H is defined as $sp(H) = \prod_{e\in E(H)} \sigma(e)$. An edge is *positive* if it has positive sign; otherwise, the edge is *negative*. A signature σ is *all-positive* (resp., *all-negative*) if it has positive sign (resp., negative sign) on each edge. A graph G together with an all-positive signature is denoted by $(G, +)$ and similarly, $(G, -)$ denotes a signed graph where the signature is all-negative. Throughout the paper, to be distinguished from "a signed graph" and from "a multigraph", "a graph" is always regarded as an unsigned simple graph.

Let (G, σ) be a signed graph. For $v \in V(G)$, denote by $E(v)$ the set of edges incident to v. A *switching* at a vertex v defines a signed graph (G, σ') with $\sigma'(e) = -\sigma(e)$ if $e \in E(v)$, and $\sigma'(e) = \sigma(e)$ if $e \in E(G) \setminus E(v)$. Two signed graphs (G, σ) and (G, σ^*) are *switch-equivalent* (briefly, *equivalent*) if they can be obtained from each other by a sequence of switchings. We also say that σ and σ^* are *equivalent signatures* of G.

A signed graph (G, σ) is *balanced* if each circuit contains even number of negative edges; otherwise, (G, σ) is *unbalanced*. A signed graph (G, σ) is *antibalanced* if each circuit contains even number of positive edges. It is well known (see e.g. [10]) that (G, σ) is balanced if and

*Paderborn Institute for Advanced Studies in Computer Science and Engineering and institute for Mathematics, Paderborn University, Warburger Str. 100, 33102 Paderborn, Germany; yingli@mail.upb.de; Fellow of the International Graduate School "Dynamic Intelligent Systems".

only if σ is switch-equivalent to an all-positive signature, and (G, σ) is antibalanced if and only if σ is switch-equivalent to an all-negative signature.

In the 1980s, Zaslavsky [12, 13] initiated the study on vertex colorings of signed graphs. The natural constraints for a coloring c of a signed graph (G, σ) are that, (1) $c(v) \neq \sigma(e)c(w)$ for each edge $e = vw$, and (2) the colors can be inverted under switching, i.e., equivalent signed graphs have the same chromatic number. In order to guarantee these properties of a coloring, Zaslavsky [12] used $2k + 1$ "signed colors" from the color set $\{-k, \ldots, 0, \ldots, k\}$ and studied the interplay between colorings and zero-free colorings through the chromatic polynomial.

Recently, Máčajová, Raspaud and Škoviera [8] modified this approach. For $n = 2k + 1$ let $M_n = \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$, and for $n = 2k$ let $M_n = \{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$. A mapping c from $V(G)$ to M_n is a *signed n-coloring* of (G, σ), if $c(v) \neq \sigma(e)c(w)$ for each edge $e = vw$. They defined $\chi_\pm((G, \sigma))$ to be the smallest number n such that (G, σ) has a signed n-coloring, and called it the *signed chromatic number*. A distinct version of vertex colorings of signed graphs, defined by homomorphisms of signed graphs, was proposed in [9].

In [6], the authors studied circular colorings of signed graphs. The related integer k-coloring of a signed graph (G, σ) is defined as follows. Let $\mathbb{Z}_k$ denote the cyclic group of integers modulo k, and the inverse of an element x is denoted by $-x$. A function $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_k$ is a *k-coloring* of (G, σ) if $c(v) \neq \sigma(e)c(w)$ for each edge $e = vw$. Clearly, such colorings satisfy the constrains (1) and (2) of a vertex coloring of signed graphs. The *chromatic number* $\chi((G, \sigma))$ of a signed graph (G, σ) is the smallest k such that (G, σ) has a k-coloring. As shown in [6], two equivalent signed graphs have the same chromatic number. In this paper, we follow this version of vertex colorings of signed graphs.

Many questions concerning the colorings of a signed graph have been discussed. In [8] and [11], they study the signed chromatic number $\chi_\pm$ of signed graphs. The chromatic spectrum and signed chromatic spectrum of signed graphs are given in [7]. A few classical results concerning the choice number of graphs are generalized to signed graphs in [5]. This paper addresses an analogue of a well-known theorem of Hajós for signed graphs.

In 1961, Hajós proved a result on the chromatic number of graphs, which is one of the classical results in the field of graph colorings. This result has several equivalent formulations, one of them states as the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). *The class of all graphs that are not q-colorable is closed under the following three operations:*

(1) Add vertices or edges;

(2) Identify two nonadjacent vertices;

(3) Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex-disjoint graphs with $a_1b_1 \in E(G_1)$ and $a_2b_2 \in E(G_2)$. Make a graph G from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by removing a_1b_1 and a_2b_2, identifying a_1 with a_2, and adding a new edge between b_1 and b_2 (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: Operation (3)

The Operation (3) is known as the Hajós construction in literature.

Theorem 1.2 (Hajós theorem, [4])**.** *Every non-q-colorable graph can be obtained by Operations (1)-(3) from the complete graph K_{q+1}.*

The Hajós theorem have been generalized in several different ways, by considering more general colorings than vertex k-colorings of graphs. The analogues of the Hajós theorem are proposed for list-colorings [3], for weighted colorings [2], and for group colorings [1]. However, all of these extensions are still restricted to unsigned graphs.

In this paper, we analogously establish a result on the chromatic number χ of signed graphs, that generalizes the result of Hajós to signed graphs. Hence, this result is a signed version of the Hajós theorem and is called the Hajós-like theorem of signed graphs (briefly, the Hajós-like theorem). To prove this theorem, we consider signed multigraphs rather than signed simple graphs. Indeed, for vertex colorings of signed multigraphs, it suffices to consider signed bi-graphs, a subclass of signed multigraphs in which no two edges of the same sign locate between two same vertices. Clearly, signed bi-graphs contains signed simple graphs as a particular subclass. Hence, the Hajós-like theorem holds for signed bi-graphs and in particular, for signed graphs. Moreover, the theorem shows that, for the class of signed bi-graphs, the complete graphs together with an all-positive signature plays the same role as it plays for the class of unsigned graphs.

The structure of the rest of the paper are arranged as follows. In section 2, we design five operations on signed bi-graphs and show that these operations are closed in the class of non-q-colorable signed bi-graphs for any given positive integer q. Moreover, we established some lemmas necessary for the proof of the Hajós-like theorem. In section 3, we address the proof of the Hajós-like theorem.

2 Graph operations on signed bi-graphs

2.1 Signed bi-graphs

A *bi-graph* is a multigraph having no loops and having at most two edges between any two distinct vertices. Let G be a bi-graph, u and v be two distinct vertices of G. Denote by $E(u,v)$ the set of edges connecting u to v, and let $m(u,v) = |E(u,v)|$. Clearly, $0 \leq m(u,v) \leq 2$. A bi-graph G is *bi-complete* if $m(x,y) = 2$ for any $x, y \in V(G)$, and is *just-complete* if $m(x,y) = 1$ for any $x, y \in V(G)$. A *signed bi-graph* (G,σ) is a bi-graph G together with a signature σ of G such that any two multiple edges have distinct signs. A bi-complete signed bi-graph of order n is denoted by $(K_n, \pm)$. It is not hard to see that $\chi((K_n,\pm)) = 2n-2$ and $\chi((K_n,+)) = n$. The concepts of k-coloring, chromatic number and switching of signed graphs are naturally extended to signed bi-graphs, working in the same way, and the related notations are inherited.

Let (G,σ) be a signed multigraph. Between each pair of vertices, remove all the multiple edges of the same sign but one. We thereby obtain a signed bi-graph (G',σ'). We can see that c is a k-coloring of (G,σ) if and only if c' is a k-coloring of (G',σ'), where c' is the restriction of c into (G',σ'). Therefore, for the vertex colorings of signed multigraphs, it suffices to consider signed bi-graphs.

2.2 Graph operations

Let k be a nonnegative integer. A signed bi-graph is *k-thin* if it is a bi-complete signed bi-graph minus at most k pairwise vertex-disjoint edges. Clearly, if a signed bi-graph is 0-thin, then it is bi-complete.

Theorem 2.1. *The class of all signed bi-graphs that are not q-colorable is closed under the following operations:*

(sb1) Add vertices or signed edges.

(sb2) Identify two nonadjacent vertices.

(sb3) Let (G_1,σ_1) and (G_2,σ_2) be two vertex-disjoint signed bi-graphs. Let v be a vertex of G_1 and e be a positive edge of G_2 with ends x and y. Make a graph (G,σ) from (G_1,σ_1) and (G_2,σ_2) by splitting v into two new vertices v_1 and v_2, removing e, and identifying v_1 with x and v_2 with y (see Figure 2).

(sb4) Switch at a vertex.

(sb5) When q is even, remove a vertex that has at most $\frac{q}{2}$ neighbors; when q is odd, remove a negative edge whose ends are connected by no other edges, identify these two ends, and add signed edges so that the resulting bi-signed graph is $\frac{q-3}{2}$-thin.



Figure 2: Operation $(sb3)$

Proof. Since Operations $(sb1), (sb2), (sb4)$ neither make loops nor decrease the chromatic number, it follows that the class of non-q-colorable signed bi-graphs is closed under these operations.

For Operation $(sb3)$, suppose to the contrary that (G, σ) is q-colorable. Let c be a q-coloring of (G, σ). Denote by x' and y' the vertices of G obtained from x and y, respectively. If $c(x') = c(y')$, then the restriction of c into G_1, where v is assigned with the same color as x' and y', gives a q-coloring of (G_1, σ_1), contradicting with the fact that (G_1, σ_1) is not q-colorable. Hence, we may assume that $c(x') \neq c(y')$. Note that e is a positive edge of (G_2, σ_2). Thus the restriction of c into G_2 gives a q-coloring of (G_2, σ_2), contradicting with the fact that (G_2, σ_2) is not q-colorable. Therefore, the statement holds for Operation $(sb3)$.

It remains to verify the theorem for Operation $(sb5)$. For q even, suppose to the contrary that the removal of a vertex u from a non-q-colorable signed bi-graph (G, σ) yields the q-colorability. Let ϕ be a q-coloring of $(G, \sigma) - u$ using colors from a set S, where $S = \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(\frac{q}{2}-1), \frac{q}{2}\}$. Notice that each neighbor of u makes at most two colors unavailable for u. Since u has at most $\frac{q}{2}$ neighbors, S still has a color available for u. Hence, we can extend ϕ to be a q-coloring of (G, σ), a contradiction.

For the case that q is odd, let (H, σ_H) be obtained from a non-q-colorable signed bi-graph (H', σ'_H) by applying this operation to a negative edge e'. Let z be the resulting vertex from the two ends of e', say x' and y'. Suppose to the contrary that (H, σ_H) is q-colorable. Let ψ be a q-coloring of (H, σ_H) using colors from the set $\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(\frac{q-1}{2})\}$. If $\psi(z) \neq 0$, then by assigning x' and y' with the color $\psi(z)$, we complete a q-coloring of (H', σ'_H), a contradiction. Hence, we may assume that $\psi(z) = 0$. For $0 \leq i \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$, let $V_i = \{v \in V(G) \colon\ |\psi(v)| = i\}$. Clearly, each V_i is an antibalanced set and in particular, V_0 is an independent set. Since (H, σ_H) is $\frac{q-3}{2}$-thin, we can deduce that there exists $p \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$ such that $|V_p| = 1$. Exchange the colors between V_0 and V_p, and then assign x' and y' with the same color as z, we thereby obtain a q-coloring of (H', σ'_H) from ψ, a contradiction. □

2.3 Useful lemmas

Operation $(sb3)$ can be extended to the following one which works for signed bi-graphs.

(sb3') Let (G_1, σ_1) and (G_2, σ_2) be two vertex-disjoint signed bi-graphs. For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let e_i be an edge of G_i with ends x_i and y_i. Make a graph (G, σ) from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by removing e_1 and e_2, identifying x_1 with x_2, and adding a new edge e between y_1 and y_2 with $\sigma(e) = \sigma_1(e_1)\sigma_2(e_2)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Operation $(sb3')$ is a combination of Operations $(sb3)$ and $(sb4)$.*

Proof. We use the notations in the statement of Operation $(sb3')$. First assume that at least one of e_1 and e_2 is a positive edge. With loss of generality, say e_1 is positive. We apply Operation $(sb3)$ to (G_1, σ_1) and (G_2, σ_2) where e_1 is removed, x_2 is split into two new vertices x_2' and x_2'' with y_2 as the neighbor of x_2' and all other neighbors of x_2 as the neighbors of x_2'', and then x_2' is identified with y_1 and x_2'' is identified with x_1. The resulting signed bi-graph is exactly (G, σ), we are done. Hence, we may next assume that both e_1 and e_2 are negative edges. Switch at x_1 in (G_1, σ_1) and at x_2 in (G_2, σ_2). Since e_1 and e_2 are positive in the resulting signed bi-graphs, we may apply Operation $(sb3)$ similarly as above, obtaining a signed bi-graph, which leads to (G, σ) by switching again at x_1 and x_2. □

Lemma 2.3. *A just-complete signed bi-graph is antibalanced if and only if the sign product on each triangle is -1, and is balanced if and only if the sign product on each triangle is 1.*

Proof. For the first statement, since a just-complete signed bi-graph (G, σ) is exactly a complete signed graph, G is antibalanced if and only if the sign product on each circuit of length k is $(-1)^k$. Hence, the proof for the necessity is trivial. Let us proceed to the sufficiency, which will be proved by induction on k.

Clearly, the statement holds for $k = 3$ because of the assumption of the lemma. Assume that $k \geq 4$. Let C be a circuit of length k. Take any chord e of C, which divides C into two circuits C_1 and C_2. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let k_i denote the length of C_i. Thus, $k = k_1 + k_2 - 2$. By applying the induction hypothesis, we have $sp(C_i) = (-1)^{k_i}$. It follows that $sp(C) = sp(C_1)sp(C_2) = (-1)^k$, the statement also holds.

The second statement can be argued in the same way as for the first one. We only have to pay attention to the equivalence between that (G, σ) is balanced and that the sign product on each circuit of length r is 1. □

A signed bi-graph of order $3r$ is *$\triangledown$-complete* if it is $(K_{3r}, \pm)$ minus r pairwise vertex-disjoint all-positive triangles. Clearly, a $\triangledown$-complete signed bi-graph is complete.

Lemma 2.4. *The ∇-complete signed bi-graph of order $3r$ can be obtained from $(K_{2r+1}, +)$ by Operations (sb1)-(sb5).*

Proof. Take $r+1$ copies of $(K_{2r+1}, +)$, say $(H_i, +)$ of vertex set $\{v_i^0, \ldots, v_i^{2r}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, switch at v_0^j, and then apply Operation (sb3') to H_0 and H_j so that $v_0^j v_0^{j+r}$ and $v_j^0 v_j^{2j}$ are removed and that v_0^j is identified with v_j^0, and finally identify v_0^j with v_0^{j+r}. The resulting signed bi-graph is denoted by (G, σ). By Theorem 2.1, since $(K_{2r+1}, +)$ is not $2r$-colorable, (G, σ) is not $2r$-colorable either. Note that v_0^0 has precisely r neighbors in G. We can apply Operation (sb5) to v_0^0, i.e., we remove v_0^0 from (G, σ). In the resulting signed bi-graph, for each $1 \leq k \leq 2r$, since $v_1^k, \ldots, v_r^k$ are pairwise nonadjacent, we can apply Operation (sb1) to identify them into one vertex. Denote by (H, σ_H) the resulting signed bi-graph.

We can see that (H, σ_H) is of order $3r$ and moreover, for $1 \leq j \leq r$, the signed bi-graph induced by $\{v_0^j, v_1^{2j}, v_1^{2j-1}\}$ is an unbalanced triangle. It follows that, by adding signed edges and switching if needed, we obtain the ∇-complete signed bi-graph of order $3r$ from (H, σ_H). □

Lemma 2.5. *$(K_r, \pm)$ can be obtained from $(K_{2r-2}, +)$ by Operation (sb1)-(sb5).*

Proof. Let (G, σ) be a copy of $(K_{2r-2}, +)$ of vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{2r-2}$. Clearly, (G, σ) is not $(2r-3)$-colorable. Switch at v_1 and apply Operation (sb5) to $v_1 v_2$ so that each of $v_3 v_4, v_5 v_6, \ldots, v_{2r-5} v_{2r-4}$ has no multiple edges. For each $i \in \{2, 3, \cdots, r-2\}$, switch at v_{2i} and apply Operation (sb5) to $v_{2i-1} v_{2i}$ so that no new signed edges are added. The resulting signed bi-graph is exactly $(K_r, \pm)$. □

3 Hajós-like theorem

We will need the following definitions for the proof of the Hajós-like theorem.

Let (G, σ) be a signed bi-graph. An *antibalanced set* is a set of vertices that induce an antibalanced graph. Let c be a k-coloring of (G, σ). A set of all vertices v with the same value of $|c(v)|$ is called a *partite set* of (G, σ). Let U and V be two partite sets. They are *completely adjacent* if $m(u, v) \geq 1$ for any $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, *bi-completely adjacent* if $m(u, v) = 2$ for any $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, and *just-completely adjacent* if $m(u, v) = 1$ for any $u \in U$ and $v \in V$.

Let (G, σ) be a signed bi-graph. A sequence (x, y, z) of three vertices of G is a *triple* if there exist three integers a, b, c satisfying the following three conditions:

(i) $a, b, c \in \{1, -1\}$,

(ii) $ab = c$,

(iii) $a \notin \{\sigma(e)\colon\ e \in E(x,y)\}$, $b \notin \{\sigma(e)\colon\ e \in E(x,z)\}$, and $c \in \{\sigma(e)\colon\ e \in E(y,z)\}$.

The sequence (a,b,c) is called a *code* of (x,y,z). Note that a triple may have more than one code.

Theorem 3.1. *(Hajós-like theorem) Every signed bi-graph with chromatic number q can be obtained from $(K_q,+)$ by Operations (sb1)-(sb5).*

Proof. Let (G,σ) be a counterexample with minimum $|V(G)|$ and subjecting to it, $|E(G)|$ is maximum.

We first claim that (G,σ) is complete. Suppose to the contrary that G has two non-adjacent vertices x and y. Let (G_1,σ_1) and (G_2,σ_2) be obtained from a copy of (G,σ) by identifying x with y into a new vertex v and by adding a positive edge e between x and y, respectively. Since (G,σ) has chromatic number q, it follows with Theorem 2.1 that both (G_1,σ_1) and (G_2,σ_2) has chromatic number at least q. Note the fact that $(K_i,+)$ can be obtained from $(K_j,+)$ by Operation (sb1) whenever $i > j$. Thus by the minimality of $|V(G)|$, the graph (G_1,σ_1) can be obtained from $(K_q,+)$ by Operations (sb1)-(sb5), and by the maximality of $|E(G)|$, so does (G_2,σ_2). We next show that (G,σ) can be obtained from (G_1,σ_1) and (G_2,σ_2) by Operations $(sb2)$ and $(sb3)$, which contradicts the fact that (G,σ) is a counterexample. This contradiction completes the proof of the claim. Apply Operation $(sb3)$ to (G_1,σ_1) and (G_2,σ_2) so that e is removed and v is split into x and y. In the resulting graph, identify each pair of vertices that corresponds to the same vertex of G except x and y, we thereby obtain exactly (G,σ).

We next claim that (G,σ) has no triples. The proof of this claim is analogous to the one above. Suppose to the contrary that (G,σ) has a triple, say (x,y,z). Let (a,b,c) be a code of (x,y,z). Take two copies of (G,σ). Add an edge e_1 with sign a into one copy between x and y, obtaining (G',σ'). Add an edge e_2 with sign b into the other copy between x and z, obtaining (G'',σ''). Clearly, both (G',σ') and (G'',σ'') have chromatic number at least q. By the maximality of $|E(G)|$, they can be obtained by Operations (sb1)-(sb5) from $(K_q,+)$. To complete the proof of the claim, it remains to show that (G,σ) can be obtained from (G',σ') and (G'',σ'') by Operations (sb1)-(sb5). Note that Operations (sb3') is a combination of Operations $(sb3)$ and $(sb4)$ by Lemma 2.2. Apply Operation (sb3') to (G',σ') and (G'',σ'') so that e_1 and e_2 are removed, x' is identified with x'', and an edge e is added between y' and z''. We have $\sigma(e) = \sigma(e_1)\sigma(e_2) = ab = c \in E(y,z)$. By applying Operation (sb2) to each pair of vertices that are the copies of the same vertex of G except x, we obtain (G,σ).

We proceed the proof of the theorem by distinguishing two cases according to the parity of q.

Case 1: q is odd. Since $\chi((G,\sigma)) = q$, the vertex set $V(G)$ can be divided into k partite sets $V_1, \ldots, V_k$, where $k = \frac{q+1}{2}$, so that V_1 is an independent set and all others are antibalanced sets but not independent. It follows that $|V_i| \geq 2$ for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$. By the first claim, $|V_1| = 1$, and the graphs induced by $V_2, \ldots, V_k$ are just-complete and moreover, every two partite sets are completely adjacent.

Subcase 1.1: every two partite sets are bi-completely adjacent. Take the vertex in V_1 and two arbitrary vertices from each of $V_2, \ldots, V_k$. Let (H, σ_H) be the signed bi-graph induced by these vertices. Clearly, $|V(H)| = q$. By the first claim and the assumption, we can see that (H, σ_H) is a bi-complete signed bi-graph minus disjoint edges. Hence, (H, σ_H) can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by switching at vertices and adding signed edges. Therefore, (G, σ) can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by Operations $(sb1)$ and $(sb4)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2: there exist two partite sets V_j and V_l that are not bi-completely adjacent. If V_j and V_l are not just-completely adjacent, then there always exist three vertices x, y, z, w.l.o.g., say $x \in V_j$ and $y, z \in V_l$, such that $m(x, y) = 1$ and $m(x, z) = 2$. Note that $m(y, z) = 1$. Thus, (y, x, z) is a triple of (G, σ), contradicting the second claim. Hence, we may assume that V_j and V_l are just-completely adjacent. Recall that both V_j and V_l induce just-complete signed bi-graphs. Thus, $V_j \cup V_l$ induces a just-complete signed bi-graphs as well, say (Q, σ_Q). By again the second claim, every triangle in (Q, σ_Q) has sign product -1. Thus, (Q, σ_Q) is antibalanced by Lemma 2.3. It follows that $1 \in \{j, l\}$ since otherwise, the division of $V(G)$, obtained from $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$ by merging V_j with V_l, yields $\chi((G, \sigma)) \leq q-2$, a contradiction. W.l.o.g., let $j = 1$.

We next show that every other pair of partite sets are bi-completely adjacent. Suppose to the contrary that there exist another two partite sets, say V_s and V_t, that are not bi-completely adjacent. By the same argument as above, $1 \in \{s, t\}$. We may assume that $s = 1$. Let u_1, u_l, u_t be a vertex of V_1, V_l, V_t, respectively, such that $m(u_1, u_l), m(u_1, u_t) \leq 1$. Note that V_l and V_t are bi-completely adjacent, we have $m(u_l, u_t) = 2$. It follows that (u_1, u_l, u_t) is a triple of (G, σ), contradicting the second claim.

Recall that $V_j \cup V_l$ is an antibalanced set. It follows that, except V_j and V_l, any other partite set contains at least 3 vertices since otherwise, say $|V_r| = 2$ with $r \notin \{j, l\}$, the division of $V(G)$, obtained from $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$ by merging V_j with V_l and splitting V_r into two independent sets, yields $\chi((G, \sigma)) \leq q - 1$, a contradiction.

Take a vertex from V_j, two vertices from V_l and three vertices from each of the rest partite sets. Denote by (H, σ_H) the signed bi-graph induced by these vertices. Clearly, $|V(H)| = \frac{3(q-1)}{2}$. By mutiplicity of the edges in (G, σ), we can see that (H, σ_H) is a ∇-complete signed bi-graph. By Lemma 2.4, (H, σ_H) can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by Operations (sb1)-(sb5) and therefore, so does (G, σ), a contradiction.

Case 2: q is even. Since $\chi((G, \sigma)) = q$, the vertex set $V(G)$ can be divided into k partite

sets $V_1, \ldots, V_k$, where $k = \frac{q+2}{2}$, so that at least two of them are independent sets, say V_1 and V_2.

Subcase 2.1: every two partite sets are bi-completely adjacent. Take a vertex from each partite set. Clearly, these vertices induce $(K_{\frac{q+2}{2}}, \pm)$. Hence, (G, σ) can be obtained from $(K_{\frac{q+2}{2}}, \pm)$ by Operation (sb1). By Lemma 2.5, $(K_{\frac{q+2}{2}}, \pm)$ can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by Operation (sb1)-(sb5), and therefore, so does (G, σ), a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2: there exist two partite sets V_j and V_l that are not bi-completely adjacent. By a similar argument as in Subcase 1.2, we can deduce that $\{j, l\} = \{1, 2\}$, that every other pair of partite sets are bi-completely adjacent, and that $|V_3|, \ldots, |V_k| \geq 2$. It follows with the first claim that $V_1 \cup V_2$ induces two vertices with a negative edge between them.

Take a vertices from each of V_1 and V_2, and two vertices from each of the rest partite sets. We can see that the signed bi-graph, induced by these vertices, can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by adding signed edges and switching at vertices. Therefore, (G, σ) can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by Operations (sb1)-(sb5), a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.2. *Every signed graph with chromatic number q can be obtained from $(K_q, +)$ by Operations (sb1)-(sb5).*

References

[1] X. An, B. Wu, Hajós-like theorem for group coloring, Discrete Math. Algorithm. Appl. **02**(03) (2010) 433-436.

[2] J. Araujo, C. L. Sales, A Hajó-like theorem for weighted coloring, J. Braz. Comput. Soc. **19** (2013) 275-278.

[3] S. Gravier, A Hajó-like theorem for list coloring, Discrete Math. **152** (1996) 299-302.

[4] G. Hajós, Über eine Konstruktion nicht n-färbbarer Graphen, Wiss. Z. martin Luther Univ. Math. Natu. Reihe **10** (1961) 116-117.

[5] L. Jin, Y. Kang, E. Steffen, Choosability in signed planar graphs, European J. Combin. **52** (2016) 234-243.

[6] Y. Kang, E. Steffen, Y. Kang, E. Steffen, Circular Coloring of signed graphs, to appear in J. Graph Theory, arXiv:1509.04488

[7] Y. Kang, E. Steffen, The chromatic spectrum of signed graphs, Discrete Math. **339** (2016) 2660-2663.

[8] E. MÁČAJOVÁ, A. RASPAUD, M. ŠKOVIERA, The chromatic number of a signed graph, Electron. J. Combin. **23** (2016) #P1.14

[9] R. NASERASR, E. ROLLOVÁ, É. SOPENA, Homomorphisms of signed graphs, J. Graph Theory (2016), doi:10.1002/jgt

[10] A. RASPAUD, X. ZHU, Circular flow on signed graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **101** (2011) 464-479.

[11] T. SCHWESER, M. STIEBITZ, Degree choosable signed graphs, (2015) arXiv:1507.04569

[12] T. ZASLAVSKY, Signed graph coloring, Discrete Math. **39** (1982) 215-228.

[13] T. ZASLAVSKY, How colorful the signed graph?, Discrete Math. **52** (1984) 279-284.

Signed graphs and geometry (2013) arXiv:1303.2770